REMARKS

Claims 1-3 and 12-20 have been amended. Claims 1-20 remain pending in the application. Reconsideration is respectfully requested in light of the following remarks.

Objection to the Specification:

The Examiner objected to the specification for failing to provide antecedent basis for the term "computer accessible medium" in claims 12-19. Applicants have amended claims 12-19 to recite "computer readable storage medium" as suggested by the Examiner. Therefore, Applicants respectfully request removal of the objection to the specification.

Section 112, Second Paragraph, Rejection:

The Examiner rejected claims 1-20 under 35 U.S.C. § 112, as indefinite. The Examiner also states in the Action that claims 1-20 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome this rejection.

The Examiner rejected independent claims 1, 12 and 20 because "the event port" and "the command port" lack proper antecedent basis. Claims 1, 12 and 20 have been amended to recite "an event port number identifying an event port of the platform independent language application" and "a command port number identifying a command port of the platform independent language application", to provide proper antecedent basis for the terms.

The Examiner also states that claims 1, 12 and 20 contain unclear language as it is allegedly "not clear whether the event and command ports refer to a port at the native language or platform independent application." Applicants submit that the amendments "an event port of the platform independent language application" and "a command port of the platform independent language application", serves to clarify the claims language

regarding the event port and the command port. In addition, claims 1, 12 and 20 have been amended to recite "the native language application opening, on the event port, an event notification stream to the platform independent language application" and the native language application opening, on the command port, a function call stream to the platform independent language application" to further clarify the claims language.

Therefore, Applicants respectfully request removal of the § 112 rejection of claims 1-20.

CONCLUSION

Applicants submit the application is in condition for allowance, and notice to that effect is respectfully requested.

If any fees are due, the Commissioner is authorized to charge said fees to Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert, & Goetzel, P.C. Deposit Account No. 501505/5681-78901/RCK.

Respectfully submitted,

/Robert C. Kowert/
Robert C. Kowert, Reg. #39,255
Attorney for Applicants

Meyertons, Hood, Kivlin, Kowert, & Goetzel, P.C.
P.O. Box 398
Austin, TX 78767-0398
Phone: (512) 853-8850

Date: March 12, 2009